

**Supplementary Information** for:

**The Impact of Free-ranging Domestic Cats on Wildlife of the United States**

Scott R. Loss<sup>1</sup>, Tom Will<sup>2</sup>, Peter P. Marra<sup>1</sup>

**Supplementary Table S1 | Estimates of cat predation rates on wildlife (per cat per yr) from temperate zone studies.**

		Estimated predation rate (per cat per yr)				Method <sup>6</sup>	Study location	Study citation	
		Bird	Mammal	Reptile	Amphibian				
Owned cats	<i>United States</i>	31.06	124.25 <sup>1</sup>			st	Pennsylvania, US	58	
		4.20				pr	Kansas, US	40	
		1.64	19.41			pr	New York, US	31	
		33.18				st	Oklahoma, US	59	
	<i>Europe</i>	5.57	15.43			pr	Bristol, UK	60	
		1.14	3.75			pr	Bristol, UK	9	
		3.81	6.87			pr	Felmersham, UK	8	
		21.92				st	Revinge, Sweden	35	
		12.44	35.79			pr	throughout UK	61	
		1.70	30.50			pr	Finsterstee, Switzerland	39	
		6.74	30.55	0.40	1.63	pr	Great Britain	57	
		2.47	5.95	0.61	0.10	pr	Canberra, Australia	33	
	<i>Other temperate</i>	2.60	6.90	0.60	0.10	pr	Canberra, Australia	34	
		2.83	6.59	1.59	0.01	pr	Auckland, NZ	62	
		2.96	26.80	0.31		pr	Christchurch, NZ	63	
		2.30	4.37	2.07		pr	Christchurch, NZ	64	
		77.92 <sup>1</sup>	110.73 <sup>1</sup>	4.10		sc	southern Chile	65	
		4.83	4.45	1.04	0.03	pr	Dunedin, NZ	7	
		<i>Excluded</i>	20.78	32.50			pr	Perth, Australia	66 <sup>2</sup>
			16.00		0.25		pr	northern Scotland	67 <sup>3</sup>
15.00	24.00		17.00		su	California, US	5 <sup>4</sup>		
13.12	19.12		0.53	0.06	pr	Lower Hutt, NZ	68 <sup>3</sup>		
					su	Michigan, US	47 <sup>4</sup>		
					pr	Virginia, US	69 <sup>3</sup>		
					pr	Lancashire, UK	70 <sup>2</sup>		
Un-owned cats	<i>United States</i>	45.95				lit	Wisconsin, US	18	
		51.17	296.78			st	Pennsylvania, US	58	
		43.80	416.10 <sup>1</sup>			st	Wisconsin, US	30	
		14.60	237.25			sc	California, US	6	

	186.47 <sup>1</sup>	749.84 <sup>1</sup>			st	California, US	28
	50.37	26.65			sc	Maryland, US	29
	63.88	355.88			st	Maryland, US	26
	9.87				st	Oklahoma, US	59
	110.35 <sup>1</sup>	305.58			st	Oregon, US	25
	23.55	329.68	58.87		st	Texas, US	27
<i>Europe</i>	45.63	584.83 <sup>1</sup>	4.15		sc, st	Hungary	71
	27.61				sc	Revinge, Sweden	35
	4.93	86.32			sc	western Switzerland	72
<i>Other temperate</i>	131.93 <sup>1</sup>				sc	Otago Peninsula, NZ	73
	77.38	293.83	109.87 <sup>1</sup>		st	New S. Wales, AUST	74
	27.38	244.55			st	Victoria, Australia	75
	43.80				sc	Wellington, NZ	76
	34.43	291.54	2.30		sc	Victoria, Australia	77
	100.28 <sup>1</sup>	394.15 <sup>1</sup>	45.48	4.67	st	southeastern, Australia	78
	15.33		12.41		sc	New S. Wales, AUST	79
	51.10	255.50	64.24	1.87	st	N. Territories, AUST	80
	46.72	42.71	122.28 <sup>1</sup>	3.29	st	southern Australia	81
	59.77	332.98	42.69		st	Heirisson Prong, AUST	82
	98.55 <sup>1</sup>		83.95 <sup>1</sup>		sc	Victoria, Australia	83
<i>Excluded</i>	162.22	283.89			st	north Island, NZ	37 <sup>3</sup>
		149.50			pr	Illinois, US	48 <sup>3</sup>
	199.84	313.51			sc, st	Macquarie Is. AUST	36 <sup>5</sup>
	84.23				st	north Island, NZ	84 <sup>3</sup>
	43.80	403.93	4.87		sc	Majorca Island, Spain	85 <sup>5</sup>
	195.06	59.78			st	Marion Is. S. Africa	86 <sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Study excluded for documenting abnormally high predation rate

<sup>2</sup>Study excluded because cats experimentally manipulated and the study's sampling duration is <1 month

<sup>3</sup>Study excluded because of a small sample of cats (< 10)

<sup>4</sup>Study excluded for using questionnaire asking participants to recall previous predation events

<sup>5</sup>Study excluded because it was conducted on a small island.

<sup>6</sup>Method of predation rate estimation used in study: lit – estimated based on literature summary; pr – collection of data on prey returns to cat owners; sc – scat contents; st – stomach contents; su – survey asking cat owners to recall previous predation events.

**Supplementary Table S2 | Values of model parameters (other than predation rate) used to develop probability distributions in the cat predation model.**

	Cats (millions)	Proportion outdoors	Proportion hunting	Correction factor	Geographic origin	Study
Owned cats	86.4	-	-	-	Nationwide	41
	81.7	-	-	-	Nationwide	42
	-	0.66	-	-	Nationwide	43
	-	0.5	-	-	Nationwide	44
	-	0.65	-	-	Nationwide	45
	-	0.40	0.51	3.30	New York	31
	-	0.43	0.83	1.20	Kansas	40
	-	0.77	0.84	-	California	5
	-	0.36	-	-	Michigan	47
	-	0.56	-	-	Florida	46
	-	-	-	2.0	Illinois	48
Un-owned cats	60-120	-	-	-	Nationwide	87
	60-100	-	-	-	Nationwide	49, 50
	25-40	-	-	-	Nationwide	88
	40-60	-	-	-	Nationwide	89
	10-50	-	-	-	Nationwide	1
	-	-	1.00	-	Illinois	51
	-	-	0.90	-	Wisconsin	53

**Supplementary Table S3 | Average proportion of total bird mortality caused by cat predation for individual species.**

Species	Average proportion <sup>1</sup>	Number of Studies <sup>2</sup>
Ring-necked Pheasant ( <i>Phasianus colchicus</i> )	0.160	1
House Sparrow ( <i>Passer domesticus</i> )	0.107	2
American Robin ( <i>Turdus migratorius</i> )	0.085	5
Red-winged Blackbird ( <i>Agelaius phoeniceus</i> )	0.056	1
Northern Bobwhite ( <i>Colinus virginianus</i> )	0.050	1
Gray Catbird ( <i>Dumetella carolinensis</i> )	0.048	1
American Goldfinch ( <i>Spinus tristis</i> )	0.036	5
Northern Cardinal ( <i>Cardinalis cardinalis</i> )	0.035	2
Rock Pigeon ( <i>Columba livia</i> )	0.034	1
House Wren ( <i>Troglodytes aedon</i> )	0.030	1
European Starling ( <i>Sturnus vulgaris</i> )	0.029	2
Blue Jay ( <i>Cyanocitta cristata</i> )	0.027	3
Carolina Wren ( <i>Thryothorus ludovicianus</i> )	0.026	1
Mourning Dove ( <i>Zenaida macroura</i> )	0.025	2
Brewer's Blackbird ( <i>Euphagus cyanocephalus</i> )	0.020	3
Horned Lark ( <i>Eremophila alpestris</i> )	0.017	2
Red-bellied Woodpecker ( <i>Melanerpes carolinus</i> )	0.017	2
Northern Flicker ( <i>Colaptes auratus</i> )	0.017	1
Dark-eyed Junco ( <i>Junco hyemalis</i> )	0.016	2
American Coot ( <i>Fulica americana</i> )	0.015	5
White-throated Sparrow ( <i>Zonotrichia albicollis</i> )	0.015	1
Ovenbird ( <i>Seiurus aurocapilla</i> )	0.014	2
Common Grackle ( <i>Quiscalus quiscula</i> )	0.013	2
Tufted Titmouse ( <i>Baeolophus bicolor</i> )	0.013	1
Black-capped Chickadee ( <i>Poecile atricapillus</i> )	0.011	3
Eastern Bluebird ( <i>Sialia sialis</i> )	0.008	1
Barn Swallow ( <i>Hirundo rustica</i> )	0.006	3
Carolina Chickadee ( <i>Poecile carolinensis</i> )	0.006	1
Song Sparrow ( <i>Melospiza melodia</i> )	0.004	1
Lincoln's Sparrow ( <i>Melospiza lincolni</i> )	0.004	1
Ruby-throated Hummingbird ( <i>Archilochus colubris</i> )	0.004	1
Western Meadowlark ( <i>Sturnella neglecta</i> )	0.004	1
White-crowned Sparrow ( <i>Zonotrichia leucophrys</i> )	0.004	1
Brown-headed Cowbird ( <i>Molothrus ater</i> )	0.003	3
Wood Thrush ( <i>Hylocichla mustelina</i> )	0.003	1
House Finch ( <i>Carpodacus mexicanus</i> )	0.003	1
Mallard ( <i>Anas platyrhynchos</i> )	0.003	1
Ruby-crowned Kinglet ( <i>Regulus calendula</i> )	0.003	1
Swainson's Thrush ( <i>Catharus ustulatus</i> )	0.003	1
Purple Finch ( <i>Carpodacus purpureus</i> )	0.003	1
Brown Thrasher ( <i>Toxostoma rufum</i> )	0.002	4
California Quail ( <i>Callipepla californica</i> )	0.002	3
Green Heron ( <i>Butorides virescens</i> )	0.002	3
Eastern Screech-Owl ( <i>Megascops asio</i> )	0.002	3

American Crow ( <i>Corvus brachyrhynchos</i> )	0.002	2
Golden-crowned Sparrow ( <i>Zonotrichia atricapilla</i> )	0.002	2
Dickcissel ( <i>Spiza americana</i> )	0.001	3
Eastern Towhee ( <i>Pipilo erythrophthalmus</i> )	0.001	3
Cedar Waxwing ( <i>Bombycilla cedrorum</i> )	0.001	3
Savannah Sparrow ( <i>Passerculus sandwichensis</i> )	0.002	1
Winter Wren ( <i>Troglodytes hiemalis</i> )	0.002	1
Chipping Sparrow ( <i>Spizella passerina</i> )	0.001	1
Wood Duck ( <i>Aix sponsa</i> )	0.001	1
Lapland Longspur ( <i>Calcarius lapponicus</i> )	0.001	1
Red-eyed Vireo ( <i>Vireo olivaceus</i> )	0.001	1
Yellow-billed Cuckoo ( <i>Coccyzus americanus</i> )	0.001	1
Northern Mockingbird ( <i>Mimus polyglottos</i> )	0.001	1
White-eyed Vireo ( <i>Vireo griseus</i> )	0.001	1

---

<sup>1</sup>Proportions are based on 10 U.S. studies that report species-by-species mortality counts<sup>27,28,30,31,40,47,58,59,69,89</sup>.

<sup>2</sup>Number of studies documenting predation on each bird species

## **Supplementary Methods | Development of probability distributions for model parameters.**

For all model parameters except predation rates, we used the same probability distribution for both mammals and birds. Literature estimates of parameters other than predation rates are in Supplementary Table S2, and the specific probability distributions we defined for all parameters are in Table 1.

**Number of owned cats in the contiguous U.S. (*npc*).** Two recent estimates of the number of owned cats are based on nationwide pet-owner surveys: 86.4 million<sup>41</sup> and 81.7 million<sup>42</sup>. We defined this parameter as a normal distribution with mean of 84 million, the average of the two estimates, and standard deviation of 2.5 million, which represents a 95% confidence interval of 79-89 million cats. The standard deviation reflects estimate uncertainty, potential changes in the number of owned cats, and the likelihood that cat population size for the contiguous U.S. may be slightly smaller than the above estimates, which include Alaska and Hawaii (i.e., no separate estimates of cat population size exist for the contiguous U.S). This population estimate range is likely conservative given a trend for increasing cat ownership<sup>12</sup>.

**Proportion of owned cats with outdoor access (*pod*).** We found eight U.S. estimates for this parameter, with three based on nationwide pet-owner surveys<sup>43,44,45</sup> and five based on research in individual study areas<sup>5,31,40,46,47</sup>. We defined *pod* as a uniform distribution with minimum and maximum of 0.4 and 0.7, respectively. The *pod* distribution is centered on the range of values from nationwide studies. For this parameter and the following parameters, we defined uniform probability distributions because there is not sufficient data to ascribe greater likelihood to any particular value.

**Proportion of owned cats hunting (pph).** We found three U.S. estimates for this parameter: 0.51<sup>31</sup>, 0.83<sup>40</sup>, and 0.84<sup>5</sup>. We defined pph as a uniform distribution with minimum and maximum of 0.5 and 0.8, respectively, which is slightly conservative relative to published data.

**Correction factor to account for owned cats not returning all prey (cor).** Three studies compare the number of prey returned to owners to the number of prey killed. Twice as many predation events were observed when cats were monitored continuously compared to average monitoring effort in Illinois<sup>48</sup>. Compared to prey returns, 3.3 times more kills were directly observed in New York<sup>31</sup>. Based on assessment of scat samples, 21% of prey captures were not detected in a study in Kansas<sup>40</sup>. We defined this parameter to reflect these detection estimates using a uniform distribution with minimum and maximum of 1.2 and 3.3, respectively.

**Number of un-owned cats in the contiguous United States (nfc).** No empirically-derived estimate of un-owned cat abundance exists for the contiguous U.S. Studies report rough estimates between 20-120 million cats, with 60-100 million cats the most frequently cited value<sup>49,50</sup>. Reflecting this uncertainty, we defined a uniform distribution with minimum and maximum of 30 and 80 million, respectively. We defined a uniform distribution rather than a normal distribution because the lack of rigorously derived estimates of un-owned cat population size precludes assignment of greater probability to a particular value. This range of abundance is conservative, given local U.S. studies that estimate densities of 0.06-0.16 un-owned cats per ha<sup>51,52,53</sup>, which extrapolates to 46-123 million un-owned cats across the land area of the contiguous U.S. The validity of extrapolating three density values to a national-scale abundance estimate is questionable. Local studies are often conducted in areas with above average density<sup>54</sup>, and density estimates often depend on the area sampled<sup>55,56</sup>. Little evidence exists to quantitatively test whether the above limitations apply to these density estimates.



**Proportion of un-owned cats hunting (*pfh*).** Predation on wildlife was observed to be universal among 326 farm cats in Illinois<sup>51</sup>, and several studies were summarized as finding that <10% of rural cats do not kill wildlife<sup>53</sup>. We therefore defined this parameter as a uniform distribution with minimum and maximum of 0.8 and 1, respectively.

**Annual predation rates (*ppr* and *fpr*).** For owned and un-owned cats, and for both birds and mammals, we compiled predation rate estimates and used box plots to identify and remove high predation rate values. These removed values are not strictly statistical outliers because the values were measured in separate study areas and for different prey communities. However, we still removed high values to increase the conservatism of our mortality estimates. In addition, we also visually inspected each set of predation rates (combined across all geographic locations) to remove those that were not statistical outliers but were much greater than other estimates (Supplementary Table S1). From remaining estimates, we used the 95% confidence interval bounds to specify minimum and maximum values of uniform distributions (Table 1). Because there was only one U.S. value that was not an outlier for owned cat predation on mammals, we only estimated predation on mammals using data from: (1) the U.S. and Europe, and (2) all temperate zone studies. For the first approximation of reptile and amphibian mortality, there were few U.S. *or* European studies of predation on reptiles and amphibians. Therefore, we only estimated predation on these taxa using all temperate zone studies. For amphibians, there were only five and three predation rate estimates for owned and un-owned cats, respectively. For un-owned cats, we used minimum and maximum values to define the uniform distribution, and for owned cats, we defined a uniform distribution with minimum and maximum of 0.05 and 0.50, respectively. The latter distribution falls within the observed range of estimates and may be conservative given an annual estimate of 1.6 amphibians killed per cat in Great Britain<sup>57</sup>.

### Supplementary References:

41. American Pet Products Association National Pet Owners Survey 2011-2012 (American Pet Products Manufacturers Association, Inc., Greenwich, CT, 2011).
42. *American Veterinary Medical Association Pet Ownership and Demographics Sourcebook, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed.* (American Veterinary Medical Association, Schaumburg, IL, 2007).
43. Saving birds from cats (Marketing and Research Services, Inc., Frederick, MD, 1997).
44. American Pet Products Manufacturers Association National Pet Owners Survey 1996-1997 (American Pet Products Manufacturers Association, Inc., Greenwich, CT, 1997).
45. Domestic Cat Predation on Birds and Other Wildlife (American Bird Conservancy, Washington, DC, 2012),  
<http://www.abcbirds.org/abcprograms/policy/cats/materials/predation.pdf>.
46. Levy, J. K., Woods, J. E. Turick, S. L. & Etheridge, D. L. Number of unowned free-roaming cats in a college community in the southern United States and characteristics of community residents who feed them. *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc* **223**, 202-205 (2003).
47. Lepczyk, C. A., Mertig, A. G. & Liu, J. Landowners and cat predation across rural-to-urban landscapes. *Biol. Conserv.* **115**, 191-201 (2004).
48. George, W. B. Domestic cats as predators and factors in winter shortages of raptor prey. *Wilson Bull* **86**, 84-196 (1974).
49. Jessup, D. A. The welfare of feral cats and wildlife. *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc.* **225**, 1377-1383 (2004).
50. Winter, L. Trap-neuter-release programs: the reality and impacts. *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc* **225**, 1369-1376 (2004).

51. Warner, R. E. Demography and movements of free-ranging domestic cats in rural Illinois. *J. Wildl. Manage.* **49**, 340-346 (1985).
52. Coleman, J. S. & Temple, S. A. Rural residents' free-ranging domestic cats: A survey. *Wildl. Soc. Bull.* **21**, 381-390 (1993).
53. Coleman, J. S. Temple, S. A. & Craven, S. R. Cats and Wildlife: A Conservation Dilemma. *Wisconsin Nat. Res. Mag* (1997).
54. Smallwood, K.S. Interpreting puma (*Puma concolor*) population estimates for theory and management. *Environ. Conserv.* **24**, 283-289 (1997).
55. Blackburn, T.M. & Gaston, K.J. Abundance-body size relationships: the area you census tells you more. *Oikos* **75**, 303-309 (1996).
56. Gaston, K.J., Blackburn, T.M. & Gregory, R.D. Does variation in census area confound density comparisons? *J. Appl. Ecol.* **36**, 191-204 (1999).
57. Woods, M., McDonald, R. A. & Harris, S. Predation of wildlife by domestic cats (*Felis catus*) in Great Britain. *Mammal. Rev.* **33**, 174-188 (2003).
58. Eberhard, T. Food habits of Pennsylvania house cats. *J. Wildl. Manage.* **18**, 284-286 (1954).
59. McMurry, F. B. & Sperry, C. C. Food of feral house cats in Oklahoma, a progress report. *J. Mamm.* **22**, 185-190 (1941).
60. Baker, P. J., Bentley, A. J., Ansell, R. J. & Harris, S. Impact of predation by domestic cats *Felis catus* in an urban area. *Mammal. Rev.* **35**, 302-312 (2005).
61. Nelson, S. H., Evans, A. D. & Bradbury, R. B. The efficacy of collar-mounted devices in reducing the rate of predation of wildlife by domestic cats. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* **94**, 273-285 (2005).

62. Gillies, C. & Clout, M. The prey of domestic cats (*Felis catus*) in two suburbs of Auckland City, New Zealand. *J. Zool. London* **259**, 309-315 (2003).
63. Hansen, C. M. thesis, Lincoln University (2003).
64. Morgan S. A. *et al.* Urban cat (*Felis catus*) movement and predation activity associated with a wetland reserve in New Zealand. *Wildl. Res.* **36**, 574-580 (2009).
65. Silva-Rodriguez, E. A. & Sieving K. E. Influence of care of domestic carnivores on their predation on vertebrates. *Conserv. Biol.* **25**, 808-815 (2011).
66. Calver, M., Thomas, S., Bradley, S. & McCutcheon, H. Reducing the rate of predation on wildlife by pet cats: The efficacy and practicability of collar-mounted pounce protectors. *Biol. Conserv.* **137**, 341-348 (2007).
67. Carss, D. N. Prey brought home by two domestic cats (*Felis catus*) in northern Scotland. *J. Zool. London* **237**, 678-686 (1995).
68. Flux, J. E. C. Seventeen years of predation by one suburban cat in New Zealand. *N.Z. J. Zool.* **34**, 289-296 (2007).
69. Mitchell, J. C. & Beck, R. A. Free-ranging domestic cat predation on native vertebrates in rural and urban Virginia. *Virginia J. Sci.* **43**, 197-208 (1992).
70. Ruxton, G. D., Thomas, S. & Wright, J. W. Bells reduce predation of wildlife by domestic
71. Biro, Z., Lanszki, J., Szemethy, L., Heltai, M. & Randi, E. Feeding habits of feral domestic cats (*Felis catus*), wild cats (*Felis sylvestris*) and their hybrids: trophic niche overlap among cat groups in Hungary. *J. Zool. London* **266**, 187-196 (2005).
72. Weber, J.-M. & Dailly, L. Food habits and ranging behavior of a group of farm cats (*Felis catus*) in a Swiss mountainous area. *J. Zool. London* **245**, 234-237 (1998).

73. Alterio, N. Secondary poisoning of stoats (*Mustela erminea*), feral ferrets (*Mussetela furo*), and feral house cats (*Felis catus*) by the anticoagulant poison, brodifacoum. *N.Z. J. Zool.* **23**, 331-338 (1996).
74. Catling, P. C. Similarities and contrasts in the diets of foxes, *Vulpes vulpes*, and cats, *Felis catus*, relative to fluctuating prey populations and drought. *Aust. Wildl. Res.* **15**, 307-317 (1988).
75. Coman, B. J. & Brunner, H. Food habits of the feral house cat in Victoria. *J. Wildl. Manage.* **36**, 848-853 (1972).
76. Fitzgerald, B. M. & Karl, B. J. Foods of feral house cats (*Felis catus* L.) in forest of the Orongorongo Valley, Wellington. *N.Z. J. Zool.* **6**, 107-126 (1979).
77. Hutchings, S. The diet of feral house cats (*Felis catus*) at a regional rubbish tip, Victoria. *Wildl. Res.* **30**, 103-110 (2003).
78. Jones, E. and Coman, B. J. Ecology of the feral cat, *Felis catus* (L.), in southeastern Australia I. Diet. *Aust. Wildl. Res.* **8**, 537-547 (1981).
79. Molsher, R., Newsome, A. & Dickman, C. Feeding ecology and population dynamics of the feral cat (*Felis catus*) in relation to the availability of prey in central-eastern New South Wales. *Wildl. Res.* **26**, 593-607 (1999).
80. Paltridge, R., Gibson, D. & Edwards, G. Diet of the feral cat (*Felis catus*) in Central Australia. *Wildl. Res.* **24**, 67-76 (1997).
81. Read, J. & Bowen, Z. Population dynamics, diet and aspects of the biology of feral cats and foxes in arid South Australia. *Wildl. Res.* **28**, 195-203 (2001).

82. Risbey, D. A., Calver, M. C. & Short, J. The impact of cats and foxes on the small vertebrate fauna of Heirisson Prong, Western Australia. I. Exploring potential impact using diet analysis. *Wildl. Res.* **26**, 621-630 (1999).
83. Triggs, B., Brunner, H. & Cullen, J. M. The food of fox, dog, and cat in Croajingalong National Park, south-eastern Victoria. *Aust. Wildl. Res.* **11**, 491-499 (1984).
84. King, C. M., Flux, M., Innes, J. G. & Fitzgerald, B. M. Population biology of small mammals in Pureora Forest Park: 1. Carnivores (*Mustela ermine*, *M. furo*, *M. nivalis*, and *Felis catus*). *N.Z. J. Zool.* **20**, 241-251 (1996).
85. Millan, J. Feeding habits of feral cats *Felis sylvestris catus* in the countryside of Majorca Island, Spain. *Wildl. Biol. Prac.* **6**, 32-38 (2010).
86. Van Aarde, R. J. The diet and feeding behaviour of feral cats, *Felis catus* at Marion Island. *S.A. J. Wildl. Res.* **10**, 123-128 (1980).
87. Lebbin, D. J., Parr, M. J. & Fenwick, G. H. *The American Bird Conservancy Guide to Bird Conservation*. (Univ. of Chicago, Chicago, IL, 2010).
88. Patronek, G. J. & Rowan, A. N. Determining dog and cat numbers and population dynamics. *Anthrozoos* **8**, 199-205 (1995).
89. Impacts of feral and free-ranging domestic cats on wildlife in Florida (Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, Tallahassee, FL, 2001).
90. Carter, K. thesis, The Ohio State University, 2009.