Advice, technology and tools

Work



A protester calls for equity, justice and human rights for gender-oppressed people.

REPORT EXPOSES POWER GAP AT US UNIVERSITIES

Only one in ten top-earning faculty members is a woman.

By Chris Woolston

omen account for just 24% of all top income earners at US universities, according to a study from the Eos Foundation. The study, conducted in association with the Washington DC-based American Association of University Women, found that highly compensated women are especially scarce at the tenured and tenure-track faculty level. Although some female faculty members have salaries of well over US\$1 million a year, they are the exception. Among faculty members, top-earning men outnumber women by nine to one (A. Silbert and C. Mach Dubé The Power Gap Among Top Earners At America's Elite Universities; Eos Foundation, 2021).

The study gathered salary data for

employees - including academic, sports and medical-school faculty and staff members - from 93 public and 37 private research-intensive US universities. Where possible, researchers identified the top ten earners at each institution. Although most public US universities are required to publish salaries of their employees, private universities have no such obligation, so data for those institutions are largely incomplete. Still, the gender gap at the top is undeniable and pervasive, says study co-author Andrea Silbert, president of the philanthropic Eos Foundation in Harwich Port, Massachusetts. Women accounted for 60% of all employees, but for only 24% of those with the highest earnings. "We have to address the embedded notions of who deserves top pay," says Silbert. "We're educating people in a system that reinforces

Your story

Send your careers story to: naturecareerseditor @nature.com

gender bias."

Among universities where the top ten earners could be identified, only one – the University of Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV) – had more women than men (six to four). UNLV president Keith Whitfield says that the university is committed to equity in its hiring and promotion practices. "We're incredibly proud of the contributions women in leadership positions make at UNLV," he says.

Ten universities had an even split of men and women in their top ten earners. Those include private institutions such as Duke University in Durham, North Carolina, and Cornell University in Ithaca, New York, as well the public University of California, Santa Cruz, and University of Minnesota Twin Cities. Six universities have no women in the top ten earners, including the private Carnegie Mellon University in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, and the public University of Florida in Gainesville and the University of Texas at Dallas.

Data gap

Data on ethnicity were less complete and more opaque than were those on gender, but disparities were still evident. Of the top earners whose ethnicity could be determined, just over 4% were African American, and less than 1% were African American women. The report notes that "women of color are virtually nonexistent among top earners".

The investigation found that nearly one-fifth of the top earners were faculty members, not provosts, chancellors or other university leaders. These faculty members tend to have a long history of winning grants, patents and public attention, Silbert says. And, in this study, 90% of them were men. "I call them 'celebrity faculty'," Silbert says. "If you want to address the gender gap between top earners, you have to figure out a way to get more women faculty to the top."

Silbert says that the general lack of transparency at private institutions greatly complicated the investigation. Some private institutions did provide information, and some top earners could be identified through publicly available filings to the US Internal Revenue Service. But for the most part, the gender balance of top earners at private US universities is still a mystery. "Transparency is foundational to solving the problem," Silbert says. She notes that private institutions receive substantial US federal funding, which, in her view, should mean that their salaries are subject to public scrutiny.

Faculty members can use public salary

Work / Careers

reports to their advantage, says loyce Chen, an economist at the Ohio State University (OSU) in Columbus. Chen says she negotiated a 20% pay raise in 2017 after a check of salary data revealed that she wasn't paid as much as male colleagues in her department. The experience inspired her to take a closer look at the salaries at her institution. She co-authored a 2019 paper showing that male tenure-track faculty members at the university earned 11% more than their female counterparts, even after controlling for factors such as experience and department (J. J. Chen and D. Crown Am. J. Agric. Econ. 101, 1337-1352; 2019).

Systemic changes

Chen, who is currently the chair-elect of the OSU's President and Provost's Council on Women, doesn't know whether gender was a factor in her low pay. The issue certainly didn't come up during the salary review, when she had to justify a pay rise on the basis of her experience and qualifications. Universities could avoid such negotiations by being proactive about salary disparities, she says. "Whoever is making salary decisions needs to justify every case where there's a greater-than-5% disparity between people of comparable ranks," she says. "If they can't justify it, they need to remedv it."

The 2020 listing of chief executives of the 500 biggest US companies, compiled by Fortune magazine, includes just 37 women. comprising 7.4% of the total. And according to the most recent figures from the US Bureau of Labor Statistics, female chief executives in the United States earned an average of \$105,300 in 2019, whereas their male counterparts earned an average of nearly \$130,800, a difference of some \$25.500.

The Eos Foundation report also laid out steps that universities can take to close the gender gap in top earners, including setting equity benchmarks, publicizing the results of regular audits and prohibiting questions about salary history during the hiring process. Those questions make it harder for women who are underpaid in their first jobs to catch up. Silbert says.

While the current system is in place, Silbert says women might need extra training in negotiation to get the salaries they deserve. But real change, she says, should start at the top. "It's not a great workplace if you bring in two people and you give one person a higher salary because he happened to negotiate for it," she says. "That's no way to run a business."

HOW BALLET PREPARED ME FOR RESEARCH

Constructive criticism and dogged perseverance help to polish the performance of dancers and scientists alike. By Aisling Roche

hen I was 15, I was selected to dance a solo in my ballet school's annual recital performance. I was ecstatic: this was the culmination of years of hard work. I memorized the dance my teacher had choreographed, and spent the next few weeks pushing back the furniture in our living room so I could practise until I was sure my execution was perfect.

"I think we'll cut the starting sequence down," my teacher said when I took the floor. "And perhaps we can rearrange the turning section, it's just not working."

Devastated, I returned to my living room, determined to adjust and prepare my limbs to my teacher's satisfaction. Week in, week out, I practised and incorporated the feedback from my teacher and peers, until finally, my dance was ready for the public. I realize now, 13 years on, that this was my first brush with the process of using feedback and criticism to grow and improve.

An essential part of training to be a researcher is becoming accustomed to this cycle of draft-critique-redraft. The 'oneand-done' model of exams and assignments in schools and undergraduate degrees rarely prepares you for this kind of assessment. It's a vulnerable feeling presenting work you are proud of, only to receive a barrage of comments and corrections from your supervisor or colleagues. The years I spent dancing in front



Ballet dancers thrive on critical feedback.

of classmates and dance-studio mirrors was valuable preparation. There is no flawless first draft, and even the best work warrants tweaking by collaborators. Once you can overcome your initial defensiveness, you realize that getting feedback is a valuable, generative process and the only way to become a better scientist.

Confidence boost

An appreciation of constructive criticism wasn't the only way that dancing prepared me to be a research scientist. The many performances I did then gave me the confidence to speak in front of conference audiences, for instance.

And the dogged, daily practice required to achieve difficult steps such as pirouette spins and grand jeté jumps helped me, I think, to develop the resilience needed in research. You must come back every day ready to tackle the subject once more, knowing that the small steps you make will eventually build up to something special.

Finally, as every PhD student knows, someday throughout my graduate studies, I left the laboratory behind. For the CC dance class, I never once thought of pipettes, pHs or papers, I could just have fun. I think we all need something we love to lose ourselves in once in a while when working on a research project.

I finished my PhD research more than a year ago, and started a job as an analytical development scientist at a gene-therapy company in London. I quickly realized that constructive criticism is no less important to my progression and growth just because I'm in industry. I've made it a priority to seek out feedback and apply the criticism I receive in each of my roles as I develop as a researcher. And yes, I still do ballet, even if just around the lab, waiting for my samples to run.

Aisling Roche is an analytical development scientist at Meira GTx, a gene-therapy company in London.