## nature

# **outlook** Reproductive health

#### Editorial

Herb Brody, Richard Hodson, Jenny Rooke, Nick Haines

Art & Design Mohamed Ashour, Denis Mallet, Annthea Lewis

**Production** Nick Bruni, Karl Smart, Ian Pope, Kay Lewis

**Sponsorship** Stephen Brown, Claudia Danci

Marketing Nicole Jackson

Project Manager Rebecca Jones

Creative Director Wojtek Urbanek

**Publisher** Richard Hughes

**VP, Editorial** Stephen Pincock

Managing Editor David Payne

Magazine Editor Helen Pearson

Editor-in-Chief Magdalena Skipper



The technology of birth control has come a long way. Women are starting to gain access to methods of contraception that avoid some of the more bothersome side effects of conventional hormonal drugs (S166). Particularly appealing are 'set and forget' approaches, such as subcutaneous implants and intra-uterine devices, which, once in place, protect against pregnancy for years (S168). New approaches are also emerging for men, including a hormonal gel that is the first new male contraceptive to enter efficacy testing in more than five years, as well as non-hormonal drugs that reduce the motility of sperm (S170).

Ever since the first test-tube baby was born more than 40 years ago, couples who found themselves shut out of baby-making have been turning to *in vitro* fertilization (IVF). Access to this process has been limited by its high cost, especially in LMICs. But the latest technologies – and attitudes – aim to address these inequities (S174).

Reproductive issues have long spawned controversy. One with lifeand-death stakes surrounds the use of misoprostol, a drug that helps to prevent uterine haemorrhage but that can also be used by women to terminate their pregnancies without medical assistance – a practice forbidden in many parts of the world (S172).

Debate also swirls around the role of religion (S165) and economics in family planning, particularly in the United States, which seems to be sliding back from the policy of former president Barack Obama's administration to cut the cost of contraceptives (S177).

We are pleased to acknowledge the financial support of Bayer AG, Pharmaceuticals in producing this Outlook. As always, *Nature* retains sole responsibility for all editorial content.

Herb Brody Chief supplements editor

**On the cover** Contraceptives allow people to plan when to start a family. Credit: Chiara Zarmati

#### About Nature Outlooks

Nature Outlooks are supplements to Nature supported by external funding. They aim to stimulate interest and debate around a subject of particularly strong current interest to the scientific community, in a form that is also accessible to policymakers and the broader public. Nature has sole responsibility for all editorial content — sponsoring organizations are consulted on the topic of the supplement, but have no influence on reporting thereafter (see go.nature.com/33m79fz). All Nature Outlook supplements are available free online at go.nature. com/outlook

#### How to cite our supplements

Articles should be cited as part of a supplement to *Nature*. For example: *Nature* **Vol. XXX**, No. XXXX Suppl., Sxx–Sxx (2020).

#### Contact us

feedback@nature.com For information about supporting a future Nature Outlook supplement, visit go.nature.com/partner

Copyright © 2020 Springer Nature Ltd. All rights reserved.



For more on reproductive health visit nature. com/collections/ reproductive-healthoutlook

### Contents

S162 ECONOMIC IMPACT Planning for success

S165 OPINION Religion is not a barrier to family planning

S166 FEMALE CONTRACEPTIVES Freedom of choice

S168 LONG-ACTING REVERSIBLE CONTRACEPTIVES Set and forget

S170 MALE CONTRACEPTION Stifling sperm

S172 MISOPROSTOL Access denied

S174 COST OF TREATMENT How much is a baby worth?

S177 OPINION Equal opportunities begin with contraception

S178 CLINICAL TRIALS Research round-up