# **Books & arts**

the idea that the Higgs field, which gives rise to the eponymous boson, also caused the early Universe to expand exponentially fast. The essay was originally published in 2006, six years before the Higgs particle was detected experimentally; today's reader is left wondering whether there is any evidence for or against Higgs inflation, and why Kaiser focuses on this hypothesis about the early Universe out of so many others.

# Much to mull

Elsewhere, an essay about efforts to detect gravitational waves, published in 2017, fails to mention that the US Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory (LIGO) was joined that year by the Europe-based Virgo Interferometer. This risks giving the impression that no one outside the United States was ever interested in the hunt.

An account of searches for extraterrestrial intelligence demotes the remarkable observation of thousands of exoplanets over the past few decades to just two sentences. It says nothing about the Breakthrough Starshot Initiative, announced in 2016 by physicist Stephen Hawking and tech giants Yuri Milner and Mark Zuckerberg, which aims to explore our Galactic neighbourhood using lightdriven centimetre-scale spacecraft.

A reflection on the Big Bang doesn't explain what physicists mean by this term or what evidence there is for it. And Kaiser's claim that the number of physics PhDs granted by US institutions shows booms and busts like the stock market leaves aside the question: what can we learn from this insight?

*Quantum Legacies* is skilfully written and a pleasure to read. But it feels like a missed opportunity. Those unfamiliar with the scientific side of Kaiser's exposition might hope for more background on the sometimes highly speculative topics.

And I wish he had elaborated on what lessons his historical analyses offer today's researchers. If reality checks "could easily have been applied" to prevent cycles in physics hiring, are we applying those now? If a 1973 book full of equations on general relativity attracted so much interest from non-experts, are we underestimating how much maths people are willing to deal with? If the US government sank several billion dollars into a mega-collider that was ultimately cancelled (the Superconducting Super Collider in Texas, shelved in 1993), what can we expect for particle physicists' present plans to build an even larger one? Kaiser is silent on these questions - but his collection did prompt me to mull them over.

**Sabine Hossenfelder** is a theoretical physicist at the Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies in Germany.

e-mail: hossi@fias.uni-frankfurt.de

# **Books in brief**



WHAT'S

WRONG

WITH

**ECONOMICS?** 

**A PRIMER** 

FOR THE

PERPLEXED

ROBERT SKIDELSKY

# A History of Plants in 50 Fossils

Paul Kenrick Natural History Museum (2020)

One of many wondrous photographs of plant fossils from around the world in this fascinating history enlarges a 1-centimetre film of carbon in 420-million-year-old UK sandstone to reveal a forked strand with trumpet-shaped ends. Spore extraction in the 1930s proved it to be the earliest known plant truly capable of living on land, notes palaeobotanist Paul Kenrick. He covers all aspects and major varieties of plants in scientifically detailed yet accessible prose. However, he concedes, "No one can say for certain when the first flower bloomed."

#### What's Wrong with Economics?

Robert Skidelsky Yale Univ. Press (2020)

Economists often compare themselves with natural scientists, argues economic historian Robert Skidelsky. They refuse to admit that their mathematics inadequately model individual and group behaviour, hence their failure to predict the 2007–08 financial crisis. This impassioned critique aims to show how economic laws have limited scope compared with the laws in natural science. To be effective, Skidelsky argues, economics must include institutions and their power, and move towards social sciences such as politics and sociology.



We Know It

# Some Assembly Required

Neil Shubin Pantheon (2020)

As a graduate student in 1986, palaeontologist Neil Shubin was inspired by a cartoon of a fish next to an arrow pointing to an early fossil amphibian. How could fishes have evolved into land creatures? Today, many non-biologists assume that feathers originated to help animals fly, or lungs and legs to help animals walk on land. But they are "entirely wrong", says Shubin. His four-billion-year history from ancient fossils to DNA presents the true picture to the general reader, with engaging portraits of contributing scientists past and current.

### We Know It When We See It

Richard Masland Basic (2020)



How do we recognize faces? So asks neuroscientist Richard Masland throughout his rich historical analysis of human vision, ranging from neurons to artificial intelligence and consciousness. He cites a study of monkeys raised from birth without seeing faces; brain scans revealed that the monkeys' facial-recognition area responded to the hands of the experimenters who nurtured them. Yet after six months of facial exposure, the same area gradually became face-sensitive. Defective "face patches" explain Masland's own face blindness.



#### Deaths of Despair and the Future of Capitalism

Anne Case and Angus Deaton Princeton Univ. Press (2020) In this hard-hitting study of US capitalism, economists Anne Case and Angus Deaton note that median earnings for white men without a bachelor's degree lost 13% of their purchasing power between 1979 and 2017, whereas national income per head grew by 85%. They tell of blue-collar despair and deaths from addiction, alcoholism and suicide, and a rapacious health-care sector. Hence, no doubt, the "gesture of frustration and rage" that elected Donald Trump president. The authors' proposed solutions involve reforming capitalism. **Andrew Robinson**